arXiv:math/0511156v1 [math.AP] 7 Nov 2005

ENTIRE SOLUTIONS OF THE NONLINEAR EIGENVALUE LOGISTIC PROBLEM WITH SIGN-CHANGING POTENTIAL AND ABSORBTION

TEODORA-LILIANA DINU
Department of Mathematics, "Fraţii Buzeşti" College, 200585 Craiova, Romania
Email : `doruta2000@yahoo.com`

Abstract

We are concerned with positive solutions decaying to zero at infinity for the logistic equation $-\Delta u = \lambda\,(V(x)u - f(u))$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where $V(x)$ is a variable potential that may change sign, λ is a real parameter, and f is an absorbtion term such that the mapping $f(t)/t$ is increasing in $(0,\infty)$. We prove that there exists a bifurcation non-negative number Λ such that the above problem has exactly one solution if $\lambda > \Lambda$, but no such a solution exists provided $\lambda \leq \Lambda$.

Keywords: logistic equation, positive solution, nonlinear eigenvalue problem, entire solution, uniqueness, population dynamics.
2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35A05, 35B40, 35J60, 37K50, 92D25.

1 Introduction and the main results

In this paper we are concerned with the existence, uniqueness or the non-existence of positive solutions of the eigenvalue logistic problem with absorbtion

$$-\Delta u = \lambda\,(V(x)u - f(u)) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N,\ N \geq 3, \tag{1}$$

where V is a smooth sign-changing potential and $f : [0,\infty) \to [0,\infty)$ is a smooth function. Equations of this type arise in the study of population dynamics. In this case, the unknown u corresponds to the density of a population, the potential V describes the birth rate of the population, while the term $-f(u)$ in (1) signifies the fact that the population is self-limiting. In the region where V is positive (resp., negative) the population has positive (resp., negative) birth rate. Since u describes a population density, we are interested in investigating only positive solutions of problem (1).

Our results are related to a certain linear eigenvalue problem. We recall in what follows the results that we need in the sequel. Let Ω be an arbitrary open set in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 3$. Consider the eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda V(x)u & \text{in } \Omega\,, \\ u \in H^1_0(\Omega). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Problems of this type have a long history. If Ω is bounded and $V \equiv 1$, problem (2) is related to the Riesz-Fredholm theory of self-adjoint and compact operators (see, e.g., Theorem VI.11 in [4]). The case of a non-constant potential V has been first considered in the pioneering papers of Bocher [3], Hess and Kato [11], Minakshisundaran and Pleijel [16] and Pleijel [18]. For instance, Minakshisundaran and Pleijel [16], [18] studied the case where Ω is bounded, $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, $V \geq 0$ in Ω and $V > 0$ in $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ with $|\Omega_0| > 0$. An important contribution in the study of (2) if Ω is not necessarily bounded has been given by Szulkin and Willem [20] under the assumption that the sign-changing potential V satisfies

$$(H) \qquad \begin{cases} V \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\Omega),\ V^+ = V_1 + V_2 \neq 0,\ V_1 \in L^{N/2}(\Omega), \\ \lim\limits_{\substack{x \to y \\ x \in \Omega}} |x-y|^2 V_2(x) = 0 \text{ for every } y \in \overline{\Omega},\ \lim\limits_{\substack{|x| \to \infty \\ x \in \Omega}} |x|^2 V_2(x) = 0. \end{cases}$$

We have denoted $V^+(x) = \max\{V(x), 0\}$. Obviously, $V = V^+ - V^-$, where $V^-(x) = \max\{-V(x), 0\}$.

In order to find the principal eigenvalue of (2), Szulkin and Willem [20] proved that the minimization problem

$$\min \left\{ \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 dx;\ u \in H^1_0(\Omega),\ \int_\Omega V(x) u^2 dx = 1 \right\}$$

has a solution $\varphi_1 = \varphi_1(\Omega) \geq 0$ which is an eigenfunction of (2) corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_1(\Omega) = \int_\Omega |\nabla \varphi_1|^2 dx$.

Throughout this paper the sign-changing potential $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is assumed to be a Hölder function that satisfies

$$(V) \qquad V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N),\ V^+ = V_1 + V_2 \neq 0,\ V_1 \in L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N),\ \lim_{|x| \to \infty} |x|^2 V_2(x) = 0.$$

We suppose that the nonlinear absorbtion term $f : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is a C^1–function such that

$$(f1) \qquad f(0) = f'(0) = 0 \text{ and } \liminf_{u \searrow 0} \frac{f'(u)}{u} > 0;$$

$$(f2) \qquad \text{the mapping } f(u)/u \text{ is increasing in } (0, +\infty).$$

This assumption implies $\lim_{u \to +\infty} f(u) = +\infty$. We impose that f does not have a sublinear growth at infinity. More precisely, we assume

$$(f3) \qquad \lim_{u \to +\infty} \frac{f(u)}{u} > \|V\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Our framework includes the following cases: (i) $f(u) = u^2$ that corresponds to the Fisher equation [9] and the Kolmogoroff-Petrovsky-Piscounoff equation [14] (see also [13] for a comprehensive treatment of these equations); (ii) $f(u) = u^{(N+2)/(N-2)}$ (for $N \geq 6$) which is related to the conform scalar curvature equation, cf. [15].

For any $R > 0$, denote $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\ |x| < R\}$ and set

$$\lambda_1(R) = \min \left\{ \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx;\ u \in H^1_0(B_R),\ \int_{B_R} V(x) u^2 dx = 1 \right\}. \qquad (3)$$

Consequently, the mapping $R \longmapsto \lambda_1(R)$ is decreasing and so, there exists

$$\Lambda := \lim_{R \to \infty} \lambda_1(R) \geq 0.$$

We first state a sufficient condition so that Λ is positive. For this aim we impose the additional assumptions

$$\text{there exists } A, \alpha > 0 \text{ such that } V^+(x) \leq A|x|^{-2-\alpha}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N \qquad (4)$$

and

$$\lim_{x\to 0} |x|^{2(N-1)/N} V_2(x) = 0. \tag{5}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that V satisfies conditions (V), (4) and (5).*

Then $\Lambda > 0$.

Our main result asserts that Λ plays a crucial role for the nonlinear eigenvalue logistic problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda\,(V(x)u - f(u)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\,, \\ u > 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N\,, \\ \lim\limits_{|x|\to\infty} u(x) = 0\,. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

The following existence and non-existence result shows that Λ serves as a bifurcation point in our problem (6).

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that V and f satisfy the assumptions (V), (4), $(f1)$, $(f2)$ and $(f3)$.*

Then the following hold:

(i) problem (6) has a unique solution for any $\lambda > \Lambda$;

(ii) problem (6) does not have any solution for all $\lambda \le \Lambda$.

The additional condition (4) implies that $V^+ \in L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, which does not follow from the basic hypothesis (V). As we shall see in the next section, this growth assumption is essential in order to establish the existence of positive solutions of (1) *decaying to zero* at infinity.

In particular, Theorem 1.2 shows that if $V(x) < 0$ for sufficiently large $|x|$ (that is, if the population has negative birth rate) then any positive solution (that is, the population density) of (1) tends to zero as $|x| \to \infty$.

We also refer to the recent papers [1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 12, 17, 19, 21] for further results related to problems of this type.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For any $R > 0$, fix arbitrarily $u \in H_0^1(B_R)$ such that $\int_{B_R} V(x)u^2dx = 1$. We have

$$1 = \int_{B_R} V(x)u^2dx \le \int_{B_R} V^+(x)u^2dx = \int_{B_R} V_1(x)u^2dx + \int_{B_R} V_2(x)u^2dx.$$

Since $V_1 \in L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Sobolev embeddings we obtain

$$\int_{B_R} V_1(x)u^2dx \le \|V_1\|_{L^{N/2}(B_R)}\|u\|^2_{L^{2^*}(B_R)} \le C_1\|V_1\|_{L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)}\int_{B_R}|\nabla u|^2dx, \tag{7}$$

where $2^* = 2N/(N-2)$.

Fix $\epsilon > 0$. By our assumption (V), there exists positive numbers δ, R_1 and R such that $R^{-1} < \delta < R_1 < R$ such that for all $x \in B_R$ satisfying $|x| \ge R_1$ we have

$$|x|^2 V_2(x) \le \epsilon\,. \tag{8}$$

On the other hand, by (V), for any $x \in B_R$ with $|x| \leq \delta$ we have

$$|x|^{2(N-1)/N} V_2(x) \leq \epsilon. \tag{9}$$

Define $\Omega := \omega_1 \cup \omega_2$, where $\omega_1 := B_R \setminus \overline{B}_{R_1}$, $\omega_2 := B_\delta \setminus \overline{B}_{1/R}$, and $\omega := B_{R_1} \setminus \overline{B}_\delta$.

By (8) and Hardy's inequality we find

$$\int_{\omega_1} V_2(x) u^2 dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\omega_1} \frac{u^2}{|x|^2} dx \leq C_2 \epsilon \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{10}$$

Using now (9) and Hölder's inequality we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\omega_2} V_2(x) u^2 dx &\leq \epsilon \int_{\omega_2} \frac{u^2}{|x|^{2(N-1)/N}} dx \\ &\leq \epsilon \left[\int_{\omega_2} \left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2(N-1)/N}} dx \right)^{N/2} dx \right]^{2/N} \|u\|^2_{L^{2^\star}(B_R)} \\ &\leq C\epsilon \left(\int_{1/R}^{\delta} \frac{1}{s^{N-1}} s^{N-1} \omega_N ds \right)^{2/N} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &\leq C_3 \left(\delta - \frac{1}{R} \right)^{2/N} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

By compactness and our assumption (V), there exists a finite covering of $\overline{\omega}$ by the closed balls $\overline{B}_{r_1}(x_1), ..., \overline{B}_{r_k}(x_k)$ such that, for all $1 \leq j \leq k$

$$\text{if } \ |x - x_j| \leq r_j \ \text{ then } \ |x - x_j|^{2(N-1)/N} V_2(x) \leq \epsilon. \tag{12}$$

There exists $r > 0$ such that, for any $1 \leq j \leq k$

$$\text{if } \ |x - x_j| \leq r \ \text{ then } \ |x - x_j|^{2(N-1)/N} V_2(x) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{k}.$$

Define $A := \cup_{j=1}^k B_r(x_j)$. The above estimate, Hölder's inequality and Sobolev embeddings yield

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_r(x_j)} V_2(x) u^2 dx &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{k} \int_{B_r(x_j)} \frac{u^2}{|x - x_j|^{2(N-1)/N}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{\epsilon}{k} \left[\int_{B_r(x_j)} \left(|x - x_j|^{-2(N-1)/N} \right)^{N/2} dx \right]^{2/N} \|u\|^2_{L^{2^\star}(B_R)} \\ &\leq C \frac{\epsilon}{k} \left(\int_{B_r} \frac{1}{|x|^{N-1}} dx \right)^{2/N} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &= C \frac{\epsilon}{k} \left(\int_0^r \frac{1}{s^{N-1}} s^{N-1} \omega_N ds \right)^{2/N} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \\ &= C' \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx,\end{aligned}$$

for any $j = 1, \ldots, k$. By addition we find

$$\int_A V_2(x) u^2 dx \leq C_4 \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{13}$$

It follows from (12) that $V_2 \in L^\infty(\omega \setminus A)$. Actually, if $x \in \omega \setminus A$ it follows that there exists $j \in \{1, ..., k\}$ such that $r_j > |x - x_j| > r > 0$. Thus,

$$V_2(x) \le r^{-2(N-1)/N} \epsilon \,.$$

Hence

$$\int_{\omega \setminus A} V_2(x) u^2 dx \le \epsilon r^{-2(N-1)/N} \int_{\omega \setminus A} u^2 dx \le C_5 \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx. \tag{14}$$

Now from inequalities (7), (10), (11), (13) and (14) we have

$$\lambda_1(R) \ge \left\{ C_1 \|V_1\|_{L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_2 \epsilon + C_3 \left(\delta - R^{-1}\right)^{2/N} + C_4 + C_5 \right\}^{-1}$$

and passing to the limit as $R \to \infty$ we conclude that

$$\Lambda \ge \left(C_1 \|V_1\|_{L^{N/2}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + C_2 \epsilon + C_3 \delta^{2/N} + C_4 + C_5 \right)^{-1} > 0.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

3 An auxiliary result

We show in this section that the logistic equation (1) has entire positive solutions if λ is sufficiently large. However, we are not able to establish that this solution decays to zero at infinity. This will be proved in the next section by means of the additional assumption (4). More precisely, we have

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that the functions V and f satisfy conditions (V), $(f1)$, $(f2)$ and $(f3)$. Then the problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \left(V(x) u - f(u) \right) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \,, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

has at least one solution, for any $\lambda > \Lambda$.

Proof. For any $R > 0$, consider the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \lambda \left(V(x) u - f(u) \right) & \text{in } B_R \,, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } B_R \,, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R \,. \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

We first prove that problem (16) has at least one solution, for any $\lambda > \lambda_1(R)$. Indeed, the function $\overline{u}(x) = M$ is a supersolution of (16), for any M large enough. This follows from $(f3)$ and the boundedness of V. Next, in order to find a positive subsolution, let us consider the problem

$$\min_{u \in H_0^1(B_R)} \int_{B_R} \left(|\nabla u|^2 - \lambda V(x) u^2 \right) dx \,.$$

Since $\lambda > \lambda_1(R)$, it follows that the least eigenvalue μ_1 is negative. Moreover, the corresponding eigenfunction e_1 satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta e_1 - \lambda V(x) e_1 = \mu_1 e_1 & \text{in } B_R \,, \\ e_1 > 0 & \text{in } B_R \,, \\ e_1 = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R \,. \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

Then the function $\underline{u}(x) = \varepsilon e_1(x)$ is a subsolution of the problem (16). Indeed, it is enough to check that

$$-\Delta(\varepsilon e_1) - \lambda\varepsilon V e_1 + \lambda f(\varepsilon e_1) \leq 0 \qquad \text{in } B_R\,,$$

that is, by (17),

$$\varepsilon\mu_1 e_1 + \lambda f(\varepsilon e_1) \leq 0 \qquad \text{in } B_R\,. \tag{18}$$

But

$$f(\varepsilon e_1) = \varepsilon f'(0)e_1 + \varepsilon e_1 o(1), \qquad \text{as } \varepsilon \to 0.$$

So, since $f'(0) = 0$, relation (18) becomes

$$\varepsilon e_1\,(\mu_1 + o(1)) \leq 0$$

which is true, provided $\varepsilon > 0$ is small enough, due to the fact that $\mu_1 < 0$.

Fix $\lambda > \Lambda$ and an arbitrary sequence $R_1 < R_2 < \ldots < R_n < \ldots$ of positive numbers such that $R_n \to \infty$ and $\lambda_1(R_1) < \lambda$. Let u_n be the solution of (16) on B_{R_n}. Fix a positive number M such that $f(M)/M > \|V\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. The above arguments show that we can assume $u_n \leq M$ in B_{R_n}, for any $n \geq 1$. Since u_{n+1} is a supersolution of (16) for $R = R_n$, we can also assume that $u_n \leq u_{n+1}$ in B_{R_n}. Thus the function $u(x) := \lim_{n\to\infty} u_n(x)$ exists and is well-defined and positive in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Standard elliptic regularity arguments imply that u is a solution of problem (15). □

The above result shows the importance of the assumption (4) in the statement of Theorem 1.2. Indeed, assuming that V satisfies only the hypothesis (V), it is not clear whether or not the solution constructed in the proof of Proposition 3.1 tends to 0 as $|x| \to \infty$. However, it is easy to observe that if $\lambda > \Lambda$ and V satisfies (4) then problem (6) has at least one solution. Indeed, we first observe that

$$\underline{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \varepsilon e_1(x), & \text{if } x \in B_R \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin B_R \end{cases} \tag{19}$$

is a subsolution of problem (6), for some fixed $R > 0$, where e_1 satisfies (17). Next, we observe that $\overline{u}(x) = n/(1+|x|^2)$ is a supersolution of (6). Indeed, $\overline{u}$ satisfies

$$-\Delta\overline{u}(x) = \frac{2[n(1+|x|^2) - 4|x|^2]}{(1+|x|^2]^2}u(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

It follows that $\overline{u}$ is a supersolution of (6) provided

$$\frac{2[n(1+|x|^2) - 4|x|^2]}{(1+|x|^2)^2} \geq \lambda V(x) - \lambda f\left(\frac{n}{1+|x|^2}\right), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

This inequality follows from $(f3)$ and (4), provided that n is large enough.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

We split the proof of our main result into several steps. We will assume the conditions (V), (4), $(f1$-$f3)$ are satisfied by V, f throughout this section.

Proposition 4.1. *Let u be an arbitrary solution of problem (6). Then there exists $C > 0$ such that $|u(x)| \leq C|x|^{2-N}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$.*

Proof. Let ω_N be the surface area of the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Consider the function V^+u as a Newtonian potential and define

$$v(x) = \frac{1}{(N-2)\omega_N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{V^+(y)u(y)}{|x-y|^{N-2}} dy.$$

A straightforward computation shows that

$$-\Delta v = V^+(x)u \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{20}$$

But, by (4) and since u is bounded,

$$V^+(y)u(y) \le C|y|^{-2-\alpha}, \qquad \text{for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

So, by Lemma 2.3 in Li and Ni [15],

$$v(x) \le C|x|^{-\alpha}, \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

provided that $\alpha < N-2$. Set $w(x) = Cv(x) - u(x)$. Hence $w(x) \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Let us choose C sufficiently large so that $w(0) > 0$. We claim that this implies

$$w(x) > 0, \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{21}$$

Indeed, if not, let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ be a local minimum point of w. This means that $w(x_0) < 0$, $\nabla w(x_0) = 0$ and $\Delta w(x_0) \ge 0$. But

$$\Delta w(x_0) = -CV^+(x_0)u(x_0) + \lambda\left(V(x_0)u(x_0) - f(u(x_0))\right) < 0,$$

provided that $C > \lambda$. This contradiction implies (21). Consequently,

$$u(x) \le Cv(x) \le C|x|^{-\alpha}, \qquad \text{for any } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

So, using again (4),

$$V^+(x)u(x) \le C|x|^{-2-2\alpha}, \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Lemma 2.3 in [15] yields the improved estimate

$$v(x) \le C|x|^{-2\alpha}, \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

provided that $2\alpha < N-2$, and so on. Let n_α be the largest integer such that $n_\alpha \alpha < N-2$. Repeating $n_\alpha + 1$ times the above argument based on Lemma 2.3 (i) and (iii) in [15] we obtain

$$u(x) \le C|x|^{2-N}, \qquad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

□

Proposition 4.2. *Let u be a solution of problem (6). Then V^+u, V^-u, $f(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.*

Proof. For any $R > 0$ consider the average function

$$\overline{u}(R) = \frac{1}{\omega_N R^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_R} u(x) d\sigma = \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{\partial B_1} u(rx) d\sigma,$$

where ω_N denotes the surface area of S^{N-1}. Then

$$\overline{u}'(R) = \frac{1}{\omega_N} \int_{\partial B_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(rx) d\sigma = \frac{1}{\omega_N R^{N-1}} \int_{\partial B_R} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) d\sigma = \frac{1}{\omega_N R^{N-1}} \int_{B_R} \Delta u(x) dx.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_N R^{N-1} \overline{u}'(R) = \quad & -\lambda \int_{B_R} \left(V(x)u - f(u)\right) dx = \\ & -\lambda \int_{B_R} V^+(x) u dx + \lambda \int_{B_R} \big(V^-(x)u + f(u)\big) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

By Proposition 4.1, there exists $C > 0$ such that $|\overline{u}(r)| \le C r^{-N+2}$, for any $r > 0$. So, by (4),

$$\int_{1 \le |x| \le r} V^+(x) u dx \le CA \int_{1 \le |x| \le r} |x|^{-N-\alpha} dx \le C,$$

where C does not depend on r. This implies $V^+ u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

By contradiction, assume that $V^- u + f(u) \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, by (22), $\overline{u}'(r) > 0$ if r is sufficiently large. It follows that $\overline{u}(r)$ does not converge to 0 as $r \to \infty$, which contradicts Proposition 4.1. So, $V^- u + f(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Next, in order to establish that $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we observe that our assumption $(f1)$ implies the existence of some positive numbers a and δ such that $f'(t) > at$, for any $0 < t < \delta$. This implies $f(t) > at^2/2$, for any $0 < t < \delta$. Since u decays to 0 at infinity, it follows that the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\ u(x) \ge \delta\}$ is compact. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 dx = \int_{[u \ge \delta]} u^2 dx + \int_{[u < \delta]} u^2 dx \le \int_{[u \ge \delta]} u^2 dx + \frac{2}{a} \int_{[u < \delta]} f(u) dx < +\infty,$$

since $f(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

It remains to prove that $\nabla u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$. We first observe that after multiplication by u in (1) and integration we find

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\partial B_R} u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) d\sigma = \lambda \int_{B_R} \left(V(x)u - f(u)\right) dx,$$

for any $r > 0$. Since $Vu - f(u) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that the left hand-side has a finite limit as $r \to \infty$. Arguing by contradiction and assuming that $\nabla u \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N$, it follows that there exists $R_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\partial B_R} u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) d\sigma \ge \frac{1}{2} \int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \qquad \text{for any } R \ge R_0. \tag{23}$$

Define the functions

$$A(R) = \int_{\partial B_R} u(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}(x) d\sigma, \qquad B(R) = \int_{\partial B_R} u^2(x) d\sigma, \qquad C(R) = \int_{B_R} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Relation (23) can be rewritten as

$$A(R) \geq \frac{1}{2}\,C(R), \qquad \text{for any } R \geq R_0. \tag{24}$$

On the other hand, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$A^2(R) \leq \left(\int_{\partial B_R} u^2 d\sigma\right)\left(\int_{\partial B_R}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}\right|^2 d\sigma\right) \leq B(R)C'(R).$$

Using now (24) we obtain

$$C'(R) \geq \frac{C^2(R)}{4B(R)}, \qquad \text{for any } \; R \geq R_0.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}r}\left[\frac{4}{C(r)} + \int_0^r \frac{dt}{B(t)}\right]_{r=R} \leq 0, \qquad \text{for any } \; R \geq R_0. \tag{25}$$

But, since $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $\int_0^\infty B(t)dt$ converges, so

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_0^R \frac{dt}{B(t)} = +\infty. \tag{26}$$

On the other hand, our assumption $|\nabla u| \notin L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ implies

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\frac{1}{C(R)} = 0. \tag{27}$$

Relations (25), (26) and (27) yield a contradiction, so our proof is complete. □

Proposition 4.3. *Let u and v be two distinct solutions of problem (6). Then*

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{\partial B_R} u(x)\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(x)d\sigma = 0.$$

Proof. By multiplication with v in (6) and integration on B_R we find

$$\int_{B_R}\nabla u\cdot\nabla v dx - \int_{\partial B_R} u\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}d\sigma = \lambda\int_{B_R}\left(V(x)uv - f(u)v\right)dx.$$

So, by Proposition 4.2, there exists and is finite $\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{\partial B_R} u\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}d\sigma$. But, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\left|\int_{\partial B_R} u\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}d\sigma\right| \leq \left(\int_{\partial B_R} u^2 d\sigma\right)^{1/2}\left(\int_{\partial B_R}|\nabla v|^2 d\sigma\right)^{1/2}. \tag{28}$$

Since u, $|\nabla v| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows that $\int_0^\infty\left(\int_{\partial B_R}(u^2+|\nabla v|^2)d\sigma\right)dx$ is convergent. Hence

$$\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{\partial B_R}(u^2+|\nabla v|^2)d\sigma = 0. \tag{29}$$

Our conclusion now follows by (28) and (29). □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. (i) The existence of a solution follows with the arguments given in the preceding section. In order to establish the uniqueness, let u and v be two solutions of (6). We can assume without loss of generality that $u \leq v$. This follows from the fact that $\overline{u} = \min\{u, v\}$ is a supersolution of (6) and $\underline{u}$ defined in (19) is an arbitrary small subsolution. So, it sufficient to consider the ordered pair consisting of the corresponding solution and v.

Since u and v are solutions we have, by Green's formula,

$$\int_{\partial B_R} \left(u\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} - v\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right) d\sigma = \lambda \int_{B_R} uv \left(\frac{f(v)}{v} - \frac{f(u)}{u} \right) dx.$$

By Proposition 4.3, the left hand-side converges to 0 as $R \to \infty$. So, $(f1)$ and our assumption $u \leq v$ force $u = v$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$.

(ii) By contradiction, let $\lambda \leq \Lambda$ be such that problem (6) has a solution for this λ. So

$$\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\partial B_R} u\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} d\sigma = \lambda \int_{B_R} \big(V(x)u^2 - f(u)u \big)\, dx.$$

By Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 and letting $R \to \infty$ we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)u^2 dx. \tag{30}$$

On the other hand, using the definition of Λ and (3) we obtain

$$\Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\zeta^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx, \tag{31}$$

for any $\zeta \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\zeta^2 dx > 0$.

Fix $\zeta \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$, $\zeta(x) = 1$ if $|x| \leq 1$, and $\zeta(x) = 0$ if $|x| \geq 2$. For any $n \geq 1$ define $\Psi_n(x) = \zeta_n(x)u(x)$, where $\zeta_n(x) = \zeta(|x|/n)$. Thus $\Psi_n(x) \to u(x)$ as $n \to \infty$, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Since $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows by Corolarry IX.13 in [4] that $u \in L^{2N/(N-2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. So, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem yields

$$\Psi_n \to u \qquad \text{in } L^{2N/(N-2)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

We claim that

$$\nabla \Psi_n \to \nabla u \qquad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)^N. \tag{32}$$

Indeed, let $\Omega_n := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N;\ n < |x| < 2n\}$. Applying Hölder's inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla \Psi_n - \nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq\ & \|(\zeta_n - 1)\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u \nabla \zeta_n\|_{L^2(\Omega_n)} \leq \\ & \|(\zeta_n - 1)\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \|u\|_{L^{2N/(N-2)}(\Omega_n)} \cdot \|\nabla \zeta_n\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

But, since $|\nabla u| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, it follows by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\zeta_n - 1)\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 0. \tag{34}$$

Next, we observe that

$$\|\nabla \zeta_n\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^N(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{35}$$

Since $u \in L^{2N/(N-2)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|u\|_{L^{2N/(N-2)}(\Omega_n)} = 0. \tag{36}$$

Relations (33)–(36) imply our claim (32).

Since $V^{\pm}u^2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $V^{\pm}\Psi_n^2 \leq V^{\pm}u^2$, it follows by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{\pm}\Psi_n^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V^{\pm}u^2 dx.$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\Psi_n^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Vu^2 dx. \tag{37}$$

So, by (30) and (37), it follows that there exists $n_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V\Psi_n^2 dx > 0, \qquad \text{for any } n \geq n_0.$$

This means that we can write (31) for ζ replaced by $\Psi_n \in C_0^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Using then (32) and (37) we find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx \geq \Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} Vu^2 dx. \tag{38}$$

Relations (30) and (38) yield a contradiction, so problem (6) has no solution if $\lambda \leq \Lambda$. □

Acknowledgments. The author is grateful to Professor Congming Li for his interest in this work and for numerous comments and suggestions on a first version of this paper.

References

[1] C. O. Alves, P.C. Carrião and O.H. Miyagaki, Signed solution for a class of quasilinear elliptic problem with critical growth, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **1** (2002), 531–545.

[2] A. Ambrosetti and Z.-Q. Wang, Positive solutions to a class of quasilinear elliptic equations on $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, Ser. A* **9** (2003), 55–68.

[3] M. Bocher, The smallest characteristic numbers in a certain exceptional case, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **21** (1914), 6–9.

[4] H. Brezis, *Analyse fonctionnelle: théorie et applications*, Masson, Paris, 1983.

[5] X. Cabré, Topics in regularity and qualitative properties of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, Ser. A* **8** (2002), 331–359.

[6] A. Dall'Aqua, Positive solutions for a class of reaction-diffusion systems, *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **2** (2003), 65–76.

[7] D. G. deFigueiredo and Y. H. Ding, Solutions of a nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, Ser. A* **8** (2002), 563–584.

[8] M. Delgado and A. Suárez, On the structure of the positive solutions of the logistic equation with nonlinear diffusion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **268** (2002), 200–216.

[9] R. A. Fisher, The wave of advance of advantageous genes, *Ann. Eugenics* **7** (1937), 353-369.

[10] M. Grossi, P. Magrone and M. Matzeu, Linking type solutions for elliptic equations with indefinite nonlinearities up to the critical growth, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, Ser. A* **7** (2001), 703–718.

[11] P. Hess and T. Kato, On some linear and nonlinear eigenvalue problems with indefinite weight function, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **5** (1980), 999–1030.

[12] Y. Kabeya, E. Yanagida and S. Yotsutani, Canonical forms and structure theorems for radial solutions to semi-linear elliptic problems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Anal.* **1** (2002), 85–102.

[13] J. L. Kazdan and F. W. Warner, Scalar curvature and conformal deformation of Riemannian structure, *J. Differential Geom.* **10** (1975), 113–134.

[14] A. Kolmogoroff, I. Petrovsky and N. Piscounoff, Study of the diffusion equation with growth of the quantity of matter and its application to a biological problem, *Moscow Univ. Bull. Math.* **1** (1937), 1-25 (French).

[15] Y. Li and W.-M. Ni, On conformal scalar curvature equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$, *Duke Math. J.* **57** (1988), 895–924.

[16] S. Minakshisundaran and A. Pleijel, Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on Riemann manifolds, *Canad. J. Math.* **1** (1949), 242–256.

[17] S. Oruganti, J. Shi and R. Shivaji, Diffusive logistic equation with constant yield harvesting. I. Steady states, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **354** (2002), 3601–3619.

[18] A. Pleijel, On the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of elastic plates, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **3** (1950), 1–10.

[19] J. Shi and R. Shivaji, Exact multiplicity of solutions for classes of semipositone problems with concave-convex nonlinearity, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, Ser. A* **7** (2001), 559–571.

[20] A. Szulkin and M. Willem, Eigenvalue problems with indefinite weight, *Studia Math.* **135** (1999), 191–201.

[21] K. Taira, Diffusive logistic equations in population dynamics, *Adv. Differential Equations* **7** (2002), 237–256.